	Case 2:24-cv-02509-DAD-CSK	Document 15	Filed 05/08/25	Page 1 of 3
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	JASON RODNEY LENEY,	No	o. 2:24-cv-02509-D	AD-CSK (HC)
12	Petitioner,			
13	v.		RDER ADOPTING	
14	BUTTE COUNTY JAIL,		ECOMMENDATIO ABEAS PETITION	NS AND DISMISSING
15	Respondent.	(D	oc. No. 11)	
16				
17				
18	Petitioner Jason Rodney Leney is a former county jail inmate proceeding pro se and in			
19	forma pauperis with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The			
20	matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and			
21	Local Rule 302.			
22	On November 27, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and			
23	recommendations recommending that petitioner's federal habeas petition be dismissed due to			
24	petitioner's failure to first exhaust his claims before the highest state court before presentation to			
25	this federal court. (Doc. No. 11 at 2.) The pending findings and recommendations were served			
26	upon petitioner and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen			
27	(14) days after service. (Id. at 3.) To date, petitioner has not filed any objections and the time in			
28	which to do so has passed.			
		1		

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the pending findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

Having concluded that the pending petition must be dismissed, the court also declines to issue a certificate of appealability. A petitioner seeking writ of habeas corpus has no absolute right to appeal; he may appeal only in limited circumstances. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253; *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 335-36 (2003). If a court denies a petitioner's petition, the court may only issue a certificate of appealability when a petitioner makes a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). Where, as here, the court denies habeas relief on procedural grounds without reaching the underlying constitutional claims, the court should issue a certificate of appealability if "jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling." *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). In the present case, the court finds that reasonable jurists would not find the court's determination that the pending petition must be dismissed to be debatable or wrong. Thus, the court declines to issue a certificate of appealability.

Accordingly,

- 1. The findings and recommendations issued on November 27, 2024 (Doc. No. 11) are hereby ADOPTED;
- 2. The operative first amended petition for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. No. 4) is DISMISSED;
- 3. The court DECLINES to issue a certificate of appealability; and
- 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to CLOSE this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 7, 2025

DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE